



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,440	12/28/2000	Suk-Won Choi	8733.373.00	6061
30827	7590	05/04/2005	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			DUONG, THOI V	
1900 K STREET, NW			ART UNIT	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			PAPER NUMBER	
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/749,440

Applicant(s)

CHOI ET AL.

Examiner

Thoi V. Duong

Art Unit

2871

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10, 12-18 and 20-25 ~~is/are~~ pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10, 12-18 and 20-25 ~~is/are~~ rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the Request for reconsideration filed February 15, 2005.

Claims 11 and 19 were cancelled and claims 1-10, 12-18 and 20-25 are currently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-10, 12-18 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

With respect to claims 1, 10 and 18, Applicant discloses a method of fabricating a liquid crystal display device comprising cooling the liquid crystal panel to a predetermined temperature so as to produce monostable alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystal molecules and heating the cooled liquid crystal panel substantially to room temperature.

According to USPN 5,583,682, as shown in Fig. 1, Kitayama et al. also discloses a similar method of fabricating a liquid crystal display device comprising forming a ferroelectric liquid crystal (or chiral smectic liquid crystal) layer 15 between a first

Art Unit: 2871

substrate 11a and a second substrate 11b; cooling the liquid crystal panel to a predetermined temperature of –9 degrees C and heating to room temperature, 30 degrees C (col. 9, lines 30-42 and col. 10, lines 41-44). However, the method of Kitayama et al. produces bistable alignment of the ferroelectric liquid crystal molecules (col. 2, lines 25-30) instead of monostable alignment as recited in claims 1, 10 and 18.

Since the reference of Kitayama et al. was patented, the reference of Kitayama et al. is presumed valid over the claimed invention.

Claims 2-9, 12-17 and 20-25 are also rejected since they are dependent on the unable claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed February 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraphs is improper because of absent specific evidence that monostable alignment within a ferroelectric liquid crystal cannot be achieved by cooling a liquid crystal display panel to a predetermined temperature as disclosed in the specification. The Examiner disagrees with Applicant's remarks since Kitayama discloses that bistable alignment is produced within a ferroelectric liquid crystal by cooling to a predetermined temperature of –9 degrees C. Accordingly, Kitayama's disclosure is a specific evidence that monostable alignment cannot be achieved within a ferroelectric liquid crystal by cooling to a predetermined temperature of –9 degrees C.

Applicant also argued that cooling at -9 degrees C producing bistable alignment does not mean that cooling at a temperatures below -9 degrees C cannot produce monostable alignment. The Examiner realizes that Kitayama just discloses a ferroelectric liquid crystal having bistable alignment produced by cooling to a predetermined temperature of -9 degrees C; however, the temperature below -9 degrees C, such as in the range around -20 degrees C disclosed in the specification, is not recited in claims 1, 10 and 18.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.


Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-2293.

Thoi Duong



04/21/2005



ROBERT H. KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800